

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 18-1833V

(not to be published)

**CORRECTED**

LISA HEJNA,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND  
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: July 19, 2022

Special Processing Unit (SPU);  
Attorney's Fees and Costs

*Michael G. McLaren, Black McLaren Jones Ryland & Griffey, P.C., Memphis, TN, for Petitioner.*

*Lara Ann Englund, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.*

## **DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS<sup>1</sup>**

On November 29, 2018, Lisa Hejna filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*<sup>2</sup> (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleges that as a result of an influenza vaccine received on October 5, 2017, she suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration as defined on the Vaccine Injury Table. (ECF No. 1). On March 23, 2022, a decision was issued dismissing Petitioner's claim for insufficient proof. (ECF No. 38).

---

<sup>1</sup> Because this unpublished Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I am required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

<sup>2</sup> National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, dated June 3, 2022 (ECF No. 38), requesting a total award of \$34,832.58 (representing \$32,857.20 in fees and \$1,975.38 in costs). In accordance with General Order No. 9, counsel for Petitioner represents that Petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. (*Id.* at 3). Respondent reacted to the motion on June 15, 2022, indicating that he is satisfied that the statutory requirements for an award of attorney's fees and costs are met in this case, but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded at the Court's discretion. (ECF No. 44). On June 16, 2022, Petitioner filed a reply stating that "Petitioner does not intend to file a substantive reply to Respondent's response". (ECF No. 45).

I have reviewed the billing records submitted with Petitioner's request. In my experience, the request appears reasonable, and I find no cause to reduce the requested hours or rates.

## ANALYSIS

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. Section 15(e). Counsel must submit fee requests that include contemporaneous and specific billing records indicating the service performed, the number of hours expended on the service, and the name of the person performing the service. *See Savin v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 85 Fed. Cl. 313, 316-18 (2008). Counsel should not include in their fee requests hours that are "excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary." *Saxton v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 3 F.3d 1517, 1521 (Fed. Cir. 1993) (quoting *Hensley v. Eckerhart*, 461 U.S. 424, 434 (1983)). It is "well within the special master's discretion to reduce the hours to a number that, in [her] experience and judgment, [is] reasonable for the work done." *Id.* at 1522. Furthermore, the special master may reduce a fee request *sua sponte*, apart from objections raised by respondent and without providing a petitioner notice and opportunity to respond. *See Sabella v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 209 (2009). A special master need not engage in a line-by-line analysis of petitioner's fee application when reducing fees. *Broekelschen v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 102 Fed. Cl. 719, 729 (2011).

The petitioner "bears the burden of establishing the hours expended, the rates charged, and the expenses incurred." *Wasson v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 24 Cl. Ct. 482, 484 (1991). The Petitioner "should present adequate proof [of the attorney's fees and costs sought] at the time of the submission." *Wasson*, 24 Cl. Ct. at 484 n.1. Petitioner's counsel "should make a good faith effort to exclude from a fee request hours that are excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary, just as a lawyer in private

practice ethically is obligated to exclude such hours from his fee submission." *Hensley*, 461 U.S. at 434.

### ATTORNEY FEES

Petitioner requests compensation for attorney William Cochran and fellow attorneys at the following rates:

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Michael McLaren	\$440	\$456	\$464	X	X	X
William Cochran	X	X	\$391	X	X	X
Christopher Webb	\$315	\$326	\$338	\$351	\$364	\$395

(ECF No. 43 at 8-9).

The requested rates for time billed between 2017-21 are reasonable and consistent with what has previously been awarded for work these attorneys have performed for other petitioners. In addition, the requested increase for Mr. Webb is 2022 are appropriate and will be awarded.

Petitioner also requests the rate of \$355 per hour for time billed by law clerks in 2022. (Id. at 8). Although the detailed billing records show that no time was billed at this rate by any law clerks, it is considered excessive for a non-barred student. In future, if time should be billed at this rate for law clerks, it shall be reduced to the appropriate rate of a paralegal.

### ATTORNEY COSTS

Petitioner requests \$1,975.38 in overall costs. (ECF No. 43 at 1). This amount is comprised of obtaining medical records, travel expenses and the Court's filing fee. I have reviewed all of the requested costs and find them to be reasonable and shall award them in full.

### CONCLUSION

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. I award a total of **\$34,832.58** (representing \$32,857.20 in fees and \$1,975.38 in costs) as

a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel. In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk shall enter judgment in accordance with this decision.<sup>3</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

s/Brian H. Corcoran

Brian H. Corcoran

Chief Special Master

---

<sup>3</sup> Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.